UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/591,995	06/22/2007	Barry Cahill-O'Brien	OCOPC-19-PCT-US	6409
22827 DORITY & MA	7590 12/10/201 ANNING, P.A.	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1449	WONG, ALBERT KANG		
GREENVILLE, SC 29602-1449			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/10/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/591,995	CAHILL-O'BRIEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALBERT K. WONG	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MO7HS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Se</u>	eptember 2006					
	action is non-final.					
	/ 					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
,	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 September 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
 Certified copies of the priority documents 						
Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	алент Аррисалон				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<i>'</i> — —					

Application/Control Number: 10/591,995 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office action is in response to the application filed June 22, 2007. Claims 1-24 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-3, drawn to a receiver for a meter reading system, classified in class
 340, subclass 870.02.
 - II. Claims 4-6, drawn to an apparatus for adjusting a receiver's bandwidth by computing the difference between the transmitter's LO frequency and the receiver's LO frequency, classified in class 370, subclass 240.
 - III. Claims 7-9 and 17-19, drawn to a method of communications using the difference between the transmitter and the receiver carrier frequency and first and second signals, classified in class 370, subclass 316.
 - IV. Claims 10-13, 15, 20-22 and 24, drawn to a communication system with drift compensation, classified in class 370, subclass 371.
 - V. Claim 16, drawn to a process for transmitting data at two data rates, classified in class 375, subclass 240.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

3. Inventions I-V are directed to related inventions having a receiver usable in a meter network. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the

Art Unit: 2612

inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have different function or modes of operations. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

4. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and/or examination burden if restriction were not required because at least the following reason(s) apply:

The search would involve divergent fields that have different classifications which would be mutually exclusive.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include

(i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

Application/Control Number: 10/591,995

Art Unit: 2612

inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 4

- 5. A telephone call was made to Christopher Daley-Watson on December 3, 2010 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALBERT K. WONG whose telephone number is (571)272-3057. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian A. Zimmerman can be reached on 571-272-3059. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/591,995 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Albert K Wong/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612

December 3, 2010